

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

		STATES OF	Washington, D.C. 20231
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST	NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/512,968 02/24/00 HEMB		BREE	D M122-869
021567 WELLS ST JOHN F SUITE 1300 601 W FIRST AVE SPOKANE WA 9920	ROBERTS GREGO ENUE	MM92/1106 RY AND MATKIN	NGUYEN.V ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2858 DATE MAILED: 11/06/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

¥ .	Application No	o. •	Applicant(s)					
u u	09/512,968		HEMBREE, DAVID R.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	VINH P NGUY	EN	2858	Idvana				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cove	er sheet with the co	rrespondence ad	Juress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 30days MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed								
 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the maining data. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) data be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, 	ays, a reply within th ary period will apply	ne statutory minimum o and will expire SIX (6)	MONTHS from the I	mailing date of this				
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 February 2000</u> .								
2b) This action is non-final.								
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Inis action is non-infal. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application	on.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claims 1-26 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of the CERTIFIED copies of the phority documents have been.								
2 - received in Application No. (Series Code / Serial Number)								
The state of the National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Schalles of the Sc								
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e).								
Attachment(s)		18) 🔲 Interview Sur	nmanı (PTO-413) P:	aper No(s).				
 15) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 17) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N 	18) lo(s)	18) Interview Sur 19) Notice of Info 20) Other:	rmal Patent Applica	tion (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2858

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-13 and \$\frac{1}{4}8-26\$, drawn to an electronic device work piece processing apparatus, classified in class 324, subclass 158.1.
- II. Claims 14-17, drawn to intermediate member, classified in class 324, subclass754.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed The subcombination has separate utility such as its intended purposes.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required

Art Unit: 2858

for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 4. If Applicants elect group I, a further electrion species as follows:
- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - A) species of figure # 2,
 - B) species of figure #3 and
 - C) species of figure # 6.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, it appears that no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 2858

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. If group II is elected, a further election species is required as follows:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- AA) species of figure #9,
- BB) species of figure # 11 and
- CC) species of figure 12.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

Art Unit: 2858

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, it appears that no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. A telephone call was made to Mr. Shaurette on 11/03/2000 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 2858

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VINH P. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703) 305-4914.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

VINH P. NGOYEN

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 2858

11/03/2000